



**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

CARL MITCHELL, et al.,

PLAINTIFFS,

v.

CITY OF LOS ANGELES, et al.,

DEFENDANTS.

**CASE NO. CV16-01750 SJO (JPRx)**  
*[Assigned to the Honorable S. James Otero, Courtroom 1]*

**ORDER RE:EIGHTH STIPULATION  
TO CONTINUE HEARING DATE OF  
THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES'  
MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION OF  
ORDER**

**Date: February 13, 2016**

**Time: 10 a.m.**

**Place: Courtroom 10C**

The parties to this action, through their respective counsel, filed an Eighth Stipulation to Continue Defendants' Motion for Clarification of the Court's order of April 13, 2016 issuing a preliminary injunction. The motion was originally set for July 25, 2016.

The Court is informed that the City and Plaintiffs continue to engage in mediation with former Magistrate Judge Woehrle regarding the issues set forth in the Motion for Clarification. The Court is also informed that the parties continue to make progress on resolving, or narrowing, the issues raised in the City's motion.

1 Plaintiffs' opposition to the motion is currently due January 23, 2017 and the  
2 motion is scheduled to be heard on February 13, 2017. To avoid the necessity of  
3 Plaintiffs opposing the motion when the parties are still engaged in mediation, the  
4 parties request that the motion hearing date be continued from the present scheduled  
5 date of February 13, 2017 to March 20, 2017.  
6

7 The Court finds good cause to grant the requested continuation of the motion  
8 to give the parties an opportunity to reach consensus on the issues underlying the  
9 motion. Accordingly, the Stipulation is hereby granted. Defendant's Motion for  
10 Clarification is continued to March 20, 2017 @ 10:00 a.m.  
11

12 Dated: January 24, 2017

*S. James Otew*

13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
14

15 Lodged by:

16 LAW OFFICE OF CAROL A. SOBEL

17 By: /s/ Carol A. Sobel

18 Carol A. Sobel  
19 Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27